

Name or title of contribution:				
Overall impression of manuscript (mark an 'x' to indicate your assessment of each item).	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
<i>The title is effective: it prompts interest and is linked seamlessly to the content that follows.</i>				
<i>The author shows a sound knowledge of the relevant literature and previous research on the topic.</i>				
<i>The manuscript contributes new, well-reasoned knowledge to the contemporary debate on the issue-area.</i>				
<i>The theoretical points of departure are presented clearly, understandably and sufficiently. The author's own theorization, if any, functions well.</i>				
<i>Methods and materials are presented in sufficient scope.</i>				
<i>The manuscript is well organized and balanced.</i>				
<i>The manuscript is well written and free of grammatical and spelling errors.</i>				
<i>The style is appropriate and effective (even if personal).</i>				
<i>Any images, poems and quotations used fit in very well with the text.</i>				
<i>The author makes use of the essential sources and all sources are clearly referenced.</i>				
<i>The contribution is a scientific publication adhering to the academic practices in its field.</i>				
<i>The manuscript fits the profile of LUP.</i>				
<i>Accept manuscript without any change</i>	<i>Accept after minor revision</i>	<i>Accept after major revision</i>	<i>Reject manuscript</i>	
<i>Please comment on and justify your choices. Feel free to provide as much additional feedback as you like.</i>				
<i>Does the author observe sound and ethically sustainable academic practices?</i>			<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>